

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 058518
ORIGIN AF-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 SSO-00 SIG-03 USIE-00 INRE-00
CIAE-00 DODE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 PA-02 SP-02 /040 R

DRAFTED BY AF/P:PHALL:ADR
APPROVED BY AF/P:RWHOLLIDAY
-----010186 080050Z /75

O 072336Z MAR 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO ALL AFRICAN DIPLOMATIC POSTS IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY PARIS IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE
AMEMBASSY PORT LOUIS
XMT AMEMBASSY FREETOWN
AMEMBASSY LOME
AMEMBASSY BANGUI
AMEMBASSY OUAGADOUGOU
AMEMBASSY KIGALI
AMEMBASSY ACCRA
AMEMBASSY CONAKRY
AMEMBASSY MBABANE
AMEMBASSY NOUAKCHOTT
AMEMBASSY NIAMEY
AMEMBASSY NDJAMENA
AMEMBASSY KINSHASA

UNCLAS STATE 058518

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR

SUBJECT: DEPARTMENT OF STATE PRESS BRIEFING, MARCH 7, 1978

FOLLOWING ARE EXCERPTS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE NOON
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 058518

BRIEFING, MARCH 7, 1978:

I WANT TO MAKE A CORRECTION FROM YESTERDAY'S BRIEFING. I SAID THAT BISHOP MUZOREWA WAS COMING IN TO SEE THE SECRETARY TODAY. HE IS NOT. HE IS COMING IN TO SEE HIM TOMORROW AT 9:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING; AND THE REASON IS A PURELY TECHNICAL ONE: HE WAS HAVING DIFFICULTY GETTING A PLANE OUT OF LONDON, THEREFORE, HIS CONSULTATIONS HAVE BEEN DELAYED BY ONE DAY. BUT IT WILL BE TOMORROW.

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANY STATEMENT THERE, ANY INFORMATION YOU CAN SUPPLY US ABOUT THE U.S. POSITION ON THE RESOLUTION WHICH IS BEING PRESSED BY THE AFRICAN NATIONS AT THE UNITED NATIONS TO CONDEMN THE INTERNAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL ON RHODESIA?

A: I AM SORRY, MURREY, I DON'T.

Q: YOU HAVE NO INFORMATION AT ALL ON THE U.S. POSITION?

A: NO, I DON'T.

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION ON THE CURRENT U.S. POSITION ON RHODESIA?

A: I DON'T THINK WE HAVE CHANGED FROM WHAT WE STARTED TO SAY ON FRIDAY AND WHAT I WAS SAYING YESTERDAY. THAT IS WHAT SECRETARY VANCE SAID UP THERE IN NEW YORK.

Q: THAT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME. COULD YOU PERHAPS RECLARIFY WHAT THE POSITION IS?

A: YES, IT IS THAT WE ARE NOT IN A POSITION TO COMMENT ON THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT WAS AGREED TO IN SALISBURY. THAT UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 058518

IS NUMBER ONE.

TO THE EXTENT THAT IT TAKES RHODESIA A STEP CLOSER TO MAJORITY RULE, TO THAT EXTENT, WE SEE IT AS A SIGNIFICANT STEP.

WE ARE NOW STUDYING THE AGREEMENT, AND WE ARE IN CLOSE AND INTENSIVE DISCUSSIONS WITH ALL THE PARTIES AND OUR ALLIES ABOUT WHERE WE GO FROM NOW ON THE RHODESIAN QUESTION. AND WE REMAIN COMMITTED TO WORKING WITH ALL THE PARTIES.

NOW, ANY NUMBER OF CONSULTATIONS ARE GOING ON AT THE U.N., BILATERALLY AND DIPLOMATICALLY, WITH VARIOUS ELEMENTS CONCERNED WITH THE STRUGGLE.

IN THAT REGARD I MIGHT SAY THAT NOT ONLY IS BISHOP MUZOREWA COMING HERE, BUT TOMORROW THE FOREIGN SECRETARY OF GREAT BRITAIN WILL BE HERE TO SEE MR. VANCE.

Q: AT WHAT TIME? MORNING OR AFTERNOON.

A: I AM NOT SURE. THE TIME OF NINE O'CLOCK STICKS IN MY MIND, BUT THAT MAY BE BISHOP MUZOREWA'S TIME. SO, I AM NOT SURE WHAT TIME. WE CAN CHECK ON THAT FOR YOU.

LET ME SAY THAT DR. OWEN IS COMING HERE PARTIALLY BECAUSE OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL DISCUSSION AND PARTLY

SPECIFICALLY TO SEE SECRETARY VANCE OBVIOUSLY ABOUT THIS QUESTION.

Q: DO YOU SAY THE UNITED STATES' POSITION IS STILL OPEN ON THE QUESTION OF THE AFRICAN RESOLUTION, OR IS IT ATTEMPTING TO SEEK MODIFICATION OF THE AFRICAN RESOLUTION?

A: I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING ON THAT FOR YOU.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 058518

Q: IS THERE NO POSSIBILITY OF GETTING SOME CLARIFICATION

IN THESE DAYS ON THE RECORD ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATION'S POSITION ON THE RHODESIAN PROPOSAL? YOU HAVE SAID HERE TO THE EXTENT THAT IT TAKES A STEP CLOSER TO MAJORITY RULE. DOES THE ADMINISTRATION EVEN REGARD IT AS TAKING A STEP CLOSER TO MAJORITY RULE?

A: LET ME SAY THAT ALL OF THESE VARIOUS SUGGESTIONS AND AGREEMENTS WE TEND TO MEASURE THEM BY THE STANDARDS WHICH ARE SET FORTH IN THE ANGLO-AMERICAN INITIATIVE. BUT I AM NOT PREPARED, TO COMMENT ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE AGREEMENT WHICH WAS ANNOUNCED IN SALISBURY. I AM JUST NOT AUTHORIZED TO DO SO. BUT WE ASK THIS QUESTION EVERY MORNING, AND I WILL CERTAINLY SEE WHETHER WE CAN'T GET YOU SOME FURTHER CLARIFICATION ON THIS SUBJECT. BUT, AS I SAY, WE ARE IN VERY INTENSE CONSULTATIONS WITH ANY NUMBER OF PEOPLE ON THIS QUESTION, AND SO THE SITUATION IS RATHER FLUID.

Q: IN ADDITION TO THE QUESTION ABOUT SPECIFIC U.S. ACTION ON THE RESOLUTION, WE ARE SEEKING A BASIC CLARIFICATION ABOUT THE BASIC U.S. POSITION ON RHODESIA AT THIS STATE, NOT SIMPLY ON THE RESOLUTION. THE ADMINISTRATION CAN RESERVE ITS ACTION, OF COURSE, ON HOW IT ACTUALLY VOTES, BUT WHAT WE ARE SEEKING IS FUNDAMENTAL CLARIFICATION ABOUT WHERE THE UNITED STATES STANDS IN THE OVER-ALL SITUATION, ESPECIALLY IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT THE UNITED STATES HAS BEEN PUTTING SUCH GREAT EMPHASIS ON ACTIVITIES VERY CLOSE BY IN AFRICA, NAMELY, IN THE HORN OF AFRICA. THERE SEEMS TO BE NO UNWILLINGNESS TO EXPRESS U.S. POSITION THERE. AND IF THE U.S. FOCUS IS ON THE AFRICAN CONTINENT, I WOULD THINK IT INCUMBENT

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 058518

ON THE ADMINISTRATION TO CLARIFY WHAT ITS POSITION IS IN THE REST OF AFRICA, NAMELY, ON THIS MAJOR AREA.

A: MURREY, I WILL CHECK BOTH OF THOSE FOR YOU.

Q: TOM, COULD YOU UNDERTAKE A COMMITMENT, PERHAPS, TO US TO MAKE AVAILABLE A SENIOR OFFICIAL WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR AFRICAN POLICY, EITHER SIMULTANEOUS TO OR PRIOR TO HIS APPEARANCE ON A TELEVISION INTERVIEW PROGRAM, SINCE THERE IS, I FEEL, AT LEAST A --

A: I WILL CHECK THAT FOR YOU.

Q: I THINK IN ALL FAIRNESS --

A: I WILL CHECK ON THAT.

Q: TOM, ON THIS SUBJECT, I AM A LITTLE AT A LOSS TO

UNDERSTAND THE DIFFICULTY. YOU SAY THAT WE HAVE STANDARDS SET FORTH IN THE ANGLO-AMERICAN AGREEMENT, AND I NOTICE THAT IN YOUR HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT, IN 27 OF THE 36 COUNTRIES IN AFRICA, THE PEOPLE ARE CITED AS HAVING NO RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE POLITICAL PROCESS.

NOW, ARE YOU DEMANDING A HIGHER STANDARD IN RHODESIA, OR ZIMBABWE, THAN YOU ARE EXPECTING IN THESE AFRICAN COUNTRIES WHO ARE BEHIND THE RESOLUTION IN THE UN, OR ARE YOU APPLYING A SINGLE STANDARD FOR AFRICA, OR WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?

A: I AM NOT IMPLYING ANYTHING, AND I HAVE NO COMMENT.

Q: WAIT A MINUTE.

A: I JUST DON'T HAVE A COMMENT ON IT.
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 06 STATE 058518

Q: BY VIRTUE OF THAT, AREN'T YOU IMPLYING SOMETHING?

A: I JUST STATED CATEGORICALLY THAT I AM NOT IMPLYING ANYTHING.

Q: YOU DON'T WANT TO COMMENT ON THE FACT THAT THE COUNTRIES THAT ARE PUSHING THIS RESOLUTION THEMSELVES DO NOT ALLOW AS MUCH PARTICIPATION IN THE POLITICAL PROCESS AS IS ENVISIONED UNDER THIS AGREEMENT THAT HAS BEEN WORKED OUT IN SALISBURY? YOU ARE INDIFFERENT TO THAT POINT.

A: I AM NOT SAYING ANYTHING. I AM NOT IMPLYING ANYTHING AND I AM NOT COMMENTING.

Q: TOM, DOES THIS CONSTITUTE AN ILLUSTRATION OF DEDICATION TO HUMAN RIGHTS?

A: EXCUSE ME, DICK WAS THE NEXT QUESTION.

Q: WHEN YOU SAY YOU ARE HAVING INTENSIVE CONSULTATIONS WITH ALL THE PARTIES, DOES THAT INCLUDE THE PATRIOTIC FRONT?

A: LET ME CHECK THAT FOR YOU, DICK, AND SEE WHAT THE STATE OF PLAY IS.

Q: COULD YOU ADD THE FRONT-LINE STATES TO THAT, AS WELL, PLEASE?

A: WE ARE IN TOUCH WITH THE FRONT-LINE STATES.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 07 STATE 058518

Q: WILL ANY OF THEIR REPRESENTATIVES BE DOWN HERE TO CONSULT WITH THE SECRETARY IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF DAYS?

A: LET ME CHECK THAT FOR YOU. I AM JUST NOT AWARE OF WHO IS ON THE SECRETARY'S SCHEDULE.

Q: TOM, IF YOU SAID THAT WE ARE HAVING INTENSIVE CONSULTATIONS WITH ALL THE PARTIES, AND YET YOU ARE NOT SURE THAT WE ARE HAVING THEM WITH THE PATRIOTIC FRONT, DOES THAT MEAN THERE IS SOME QUESTION IN THE DEPARTMENT'S MIND AS TO WHETHER THE PATRIOTIC FRONT IS IN FACT STILL A PARTY, OR ARE YOU JUST NOT --

A: WHAT I AM NOT CERTAIN OF IS WHAT THE STATE OF PLAY IS WHEN WE WERE LAST IN TOUCH WITH THE PATRIOTIC FRONT. BUT, NO, IT IS NOT IMPLYING THAT THEY ARE NOT PARTIES. THEY ARE OBVIOUSLY PARTIES CONCERNED WITH WHAT HAPPENS IN RHODESIA.

Q: IS IAN SMITH CONSIDERED A PARTY?

A: I WOULD ASSUME SO, YES.

Q: AND ARE WE HAVING CONTACT WITH HIM?

A: I WILL CHECK THAT FOR YOU.

Q: FURTHER, IS DR. OWEN GOING TO SEE THE PRESIDENT?

A: I AM NOT AWARE OF ANY PLANS FOR DR. OWEN TO SEE THE PRESIDENT?

Q: WHY IS HE COMING, THEN?

A: AS I SAID, HE IS COMING PARTIALLY FOR THE DEBATE IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL, AND PARTIALLY TO REVIEW WITH SECRETARY

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 08 STATE 058518

VANCE THE STATE OF PLAY WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY CHANGING VERY RAPIDLY IN SOUTHERN AFRICA.

Q: DO WE SHARE THE BRITISH VIEW THAT IAN SMITH HAS NO LEGAL BASIS FOR APPEARING BEFORE THE SECURITY COUNCIL?

A: I HAVEN'T SEEN AN OFFICIAL POSITION EXPRESSED ON THAT. IT YOU WANT ME TO CHECK IT, I WILL.

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ON THE REPORTED RAIDS BY THE RHO-DESIAN FORCES IN ZAMBIA?

A: YES. AS WE HAVE SEEN THE PRESS REPORTS, BUT HAVE NO FURTHER INFORMATION YET, WE CAN HAVE LITTLE COMMENT AT THIS TIME.

I WILL SAY THAT WE DEPLORE THIS ATTACK, AS WE HAVE CONSISTENTLY DEPLORED ANY ACTION WHICH RAISES THE LEVEL OF VIOLENCE AND INCREASES THE RISK OF A FURTHER WIDENING OF THE CONFLICT.

Q: DID YOU DEPLORE THE FIVE BOMBS THAT WENT OFF IN SALISBURY ALSO, TOM?

A: I AM NOT AWARE OF WHICH FIVE BOMBS YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT, BUT IF THERE ARE TERRORISTS --

Q: RIGHT AFTER THE SIGNING, TOM, IT WAS WIDELY REPORTED. I WONDER, DID YOU DEPLORE THAT, TOO?

A: I DON'T THINK WE WERE ASKED ABOUT IT, BUT OBVIOUSLY WE DEPLORE ANY VIOLENCE, AND WE WOULD DEPLORE VIOLENCE OF

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 09 STATE 058518

TERRORISTS SETTING BOMBS, ABSOLUTELY.

Q: I UNDERSTAND THAT MR. NKOMO HAS APPLIED TO THE EMBASSY IN LUSAKA FOR A VISA TO COME PRESUMABLY TO THE UNITED NATIONS. HAS HE GOTTEN THAT VISA? DO YOU KNOW WHETHER HE HAS ANY PLANS TO MEET -- TO TRAVEL TO NEW YORK, AND IF HE DOES COME TO THIS COUNTRY, WILL HE BE ACCORDED A MEETING WITH THE SECRETARY?

A: I WILL CHECK ALL THREE OF THOSE QUESTIONS. I JUST HAVEN'T SEEN ANYTHING ON THAT.

Q: TOM, WHOSE IDEAS WAS THE BISHOP/VANCE MEETING TOMORROW?

Q: QUESTION?

A: THE QUESTION WAS, WHOSE IDEA WAS THE MEETING BETWEEN THE BISHOP AND THE SECRETARY TOMORROW? I DON'T KNOW, BUT I WILL FIND OUT.

Q: TOM, IN YOUR LAST ANSWER ON THE RHODESIAN RAID, WHAT DOES "RUNS THE RISK OF WIDENING THE CONFLICT" MEAN? AND, A SECOND FOOTNOTE TO THAT, WHICH YOU PROBABLY WON'T BE ABLE TO ANSWER RIGHT AWAY, IS THAT NOT THE FIRST TIME THAT THAT PHRASE HAS BEEN THROWN INTO A RHODESIAN STATEMENT, OR IS IT?

A: I AM NOT SURE I CAN ANSWER YOUR SECOND QUESTION. I THINK WHAT THE STATEMENT IS REFERRING TO IS OBVIOUSLY AN ACROSS-THE-BORDER OPERATION. AND WE THINK IT INCREASES -- ENTERING ZAMBIA INCREASES THE DANGER THAT THIS THING IS GOING TO GET MORE OUT OF HAND.

Q: I'M SORRY, IT SOUNDS TO ME AS IF WHOEVER WROTE IT WAS MEANING TO SUGGEST SOMETHING MORE THAN THAT, MEANING THE INVOLVEMENT OF THE SUPERPOWERS IN THE CONFLICT.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 10 STATE 058518

YOU TALK ABOUT AN ATTACK THAT RUNS THE RISK OF WIDENING THE CONFLICT. WELL, IF THE ATTACK TOOK PLACE, IT HAS ALREADY WIDENED THE CONFLICT IN THE SENSE THAT YOU SUGGEST.

A: I AM NOT IN A POSITION TO FURTHER CHARACTERIZE IT. IF YOU WANT ME TO TAKE THE QUESTION OF WHAT THE LANGUAGE MEANS, AND WHETHER IT IS A --

Q: A FIRST.

A: -- A FIRST, I WILL.

Q: TOM, THERE IS A LARGE AD IN TODAY'S NEW YORK TIMES BY

THE GROUP OF TEN WHICH RAISES THE QUESTION OF WHY THE UNITED STATES HAS NOT TAKEN THE ISSUE OF CUBAN EXPEDITIONARY FORCES IN AFRICA TO THE U.N., CHARGING THAT THEY ARE A THREAT TO THE PEACE, AS HODDING CARTER SAID THEY WERE LAST NOVEMBER. DO YOU HAVE ANY ANSWER TO THE QUESTION RAISED IN THAT AD?

A: I DON'T HAVE ANY OFFICIAL POSITION ON THAT, REED, BUT LET ME SAY THAT WE THINK WE ARE RAISING IT. WE ARE RAISING IT DIRECTLY WITH THE CUBANS; WE ARE RAISING IT WITH THE RUSSIANS; WE ARE RAISING IT IN OUR DIPLOMATIC CONTACTS WITH ANY NUMBER OF PEOPLE, AND I ASSUME THAT WE HAVE NOT TAKEN IT TO THE U.N. BECAUSE WE THINK THAT IT IS BEST TO PROCEED

IN THE FORUMS WHICH WE ARE PROCEEDING IN NOW.

Q: DOES THE CARTER ADMINISTRATION BELIEVE IT WOULD BE SOME-
WHAT EMBARRASSING FOR AMBASSADOR YOUNG HAVING STATED THE
CUBANS WERE A STABILIZING INFLUENCE, NOW TO SAY THAT THEY
ARE NO LONGER STABILIZING?

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 11 STATE 058518

A: I THINK AMBASSADOR YOUNG'S COMMENTS ON THE CUBAN PRESENCE
IN THE HORN OF AFRICA SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES.

Q: IN OTHER WORDS, YOU THINK IT IS PERFECTLY CLEAR TO
THEM THAT HE HAS CHANGED HIS MIND ON THE STABILIZING EFFECT
OF THE CUBANS?

A: I CAN'T SPEAK FOR WHAT IS CLEAR IN THE MINDS OF THE
CUBANS ABOUT WHAT AMBASSADOR YOUNG HAS TO SAY.

Q: WHY DON'T WE TAKE IT UP WITH THE U.N., THEN?

A: BECAUSE I ASSUME, AS I SAID A MOMENT AGO, THAT WE ARE
TAKING IT UP IN WHAT WE THINK ARE THE BEST CHANNELS.

Q: IT'S NOT A GOOD CHANNEL, IN OTHER WORDS? IT'S MUCH
BETTER TO DEAL WITH THE COUNTRIES INDIVIDUALLY THAN THE
U.N.?

A: I GUESS IF WE HAVEN'T DONE IT THUS FAR, WE THINK IT'S
BEST TO PROCEED IN THE WAY WE HAVE. WHAT WE WILL DO IN THE
FUTURE, I JUST DON'T KNOW.

Q: IN THAT REGARD TOM, YOU SAY YOU'RE TALKING TO THE CUBANS,
HAS ANY THOUGHT BEEN GIVEN TO ANY RETALIATORY MEASURES THAT
WE MIGHT TAKE? FOR EXAMPLE, THE CUBANS, I UNDERSTAND, ARE
LOOKING TO THE TOURIST TRAFFIC FROM THE UNITED STATES AS
BEING A SUBSTANTIAL FOREIGN EXCHANGE EARNER FOR THEM. ARE
WE THINKING ABOUT PERHAPS DOING ANYTHING TO CURTAIL THAT

TYPE OF THING TO SHOW OUR DISPLEASURE?

A: WE HAVE SAID IN THE PAST THAT THE PRESENCE OF CUBAN
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES IN AFRICA HAS CALLED INTO QUESTION
THE WHOLE NORMALIZATION PROCESS WITH CUBA, SOMETHING WE
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 12 STATE 058518

THINK THE CUBANS WOULD LIKE TO HAVE. BUT I'M NOT GOING TO
GO INTO POSSIBLE FUTURE RETALIATORY STEPS WHICH WE MAY OR
MAY NOT TAKE AS A RESULT OF CUBA'S AFRICAN POLICY.

Q: THANK YOU, TOM. VANCE

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 26 sep 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: PRESS CONFERENCES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 07 mar 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 jan 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE058518
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: AF/P:PHALL:ADR
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Expiration:
Film Number: D780103-0551
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t197803126/baaafcak.tel
Line Count: 436
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Message ID: c1685ebb-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN AF
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 29 mar 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: N/A
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3165863
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: DEPARTMENT OF STATE PRESS BRIEFING, MARCH 7, 1978
TAGS: PFOR, SOPN, US
To: ALL AFRICAN DIPLOMATIC POSTS LONDON MULTIPLE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/c1685ebb-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014